

# Fresnel Lens Gamma Ray Telescope

---

## Mission Operations



Tim Rykowski

January 10, 2002



# Mission/Science Operations Topics

- ◆ Recommended Implementation Approach
- ◆ Recommended Operations Staffing Approach
- ◆ Critical Requirements and Assumptions
- ◆ Cost Summary
- ◆ End-to-End Cost Summary
- ◆ Technology Development Needs
- ◆ Additional Trades to Consider
- ◆ Risk Assessment
- ◆ Issues and Concerns
- ◆ Back-up Slides





# Mission/Science Operations Recommended Development Approach

- ◆ **Use COTS-based MOC as basis for FL Gamma Ray MOC implementation, with automation to allow unstaffed operations**
  - Will provide sufficient capabilities to satisfy all requirements
  - Several software packages (I TOS, EPOCH 2000, ALTAIR) are commercially available and provide required functionality
  - No mission requirements which drive MOC technology -- technology required is readily available and operational today for several spacecraft.
    - Formation control is performed "on-board" using crosslinks/appropriate technology and is not controlled by MOC.
- ◆ **Level 0 processing requirements can be satisfied with COTS hardware and heritage software.**
  - No significant latency requirement
  - Very low data rates:
    - 6 kbps for Detector spacecraft
    - 5 kbps for Lens spacecraft





# Mission/Science Operations Recommended Operations Staffing Approach

- ◆ Recommend 8x5 (weekday, prime shift) staffing profile for routine mission operations
  - Most cost-effective solution
- ◆ Relatively simple mission operations concept allows 8x5 operations approach to be pursued:
  - Most instrument operations can be planned/scheduled prior to mission – modifications identifying new targets of interest do not require immediate response.
  - Infrequent space-ground contacts, nominally once daily for recorder dumps/command uploads
  - Maneuvers and formation control performed using on-board technology and are not controlled from the ground.





# Mission/Science Operations Critical Requirements and Assumptions

## ◆ Data rates:

- Instrument detector data rate: 1 kbps (Detector spacecraft only)
- Engineering data rate: 4 kbps for each spacecraft

## ◆ Data Latency and Quality:

- No driving data latency requirement
  - Assumption: Level 0 (LO) data sets will be created and distributed daily, constituting of all data (~24 hours) from single recorder dump daily.
  - Nominal latency would be ~1 hour after ground receipt of all instrument data to complete LO processing.
- Data Recovery Requirement = 98%

## ◆ Space-Ground contact profile

- Return Link:
  - Ground Stations: Single contact per day @ DSN Goldstone (34m) @ 1 Mbps (using Ka-band)
    - Single downlink contains all detector data plus engineering data from both spacecraft
  - Forward Link: Single uplink per day at 2 kbps (using X-band)
    - Uplink contains commands for both Sensorcraft and Lens Spacecraft - commands are transmitted to Lens Spacecraft from Sensorcraft via crosslink
- MOC functionality:
  - MOC provides "standard" set of functionality to support Mission Operations (e.g., S/C and instrument commanding, mission planning/scheduling, RT TLM monitoring, offline analysis), plus Level Zero processing.





# Mission/Science Operations Costs

## 2 spacecraft option (1 Lens SC, 1 Detector spacecraft)

(\$K, FY 2002 \$)

	3 Year	5 Year
<b>Implementation/Maintenance</b>		
Mission Operations		
Development		
Maintenance		
Ground Communications		
Sci Data Processing		
Development		
Maintenance		
Archival costs		
<b>Operations</b>		
Mission Ops		
Science Ops		
<b>Grand Total</b>		

- Notes:
- o Above cost summary derived from separate cost spreadsheet
  - o Ground Station/space-ground link costs covered in Data Systems package





# Mission/Science Operations Costs

## 3 spacecraft option (1 Lens SC, 2 Detector spacecraft)

(\$K, FY 2002 \$)

	3 Year	5 Year
<b>Implementation / Maintenance</b>		
Mission Operations		
Development		
Maintenance		
Ground Communications		
Sci Data Processing		
Development		
Maintenance		
Archival costs		
<b>Operations</b>		
Mission Ops		
Science Ops		
<b>Grand Total (3 S/C )</b>		
<b>Grand Total (2 S/C )</b>		
<b>Cost Difference</b>		

Differences from 2 spacecraft option

- Additional \$XXX K needed in development to provide 4<sup>th</sup> operational string within MOC
- Additional \$XXX K needed for pre-launch testing and operations
- Additional ~\$XXXK/yr needed for operations (staff grows by one person to handle additional spacecraft management as well as planning and scheduling within MOC).





# Mission/Science Operations Cost Basis of Estimate

## ◆ Mission Operations Cost Assumptions

- MOC located at GSFC, but really could be anywhere (i.e., not a cost driver)
  - Costs do not assume reuse of any existing hardware or software
- For MOC real-time and data processing support, assuming 3 logical strings
  - Prime, Backup, and Dev/Test support
  - Provides required automation functionality and necessary RMA
- L0 data sets are electronically delivered to science processing system @ GSFC when complete.

## ◆ Operations Staffing Cost Assumptions (2 spacecraft option)

- First year (L-30 mos. to L-18 mos.): **1.2 heads** for ops planning activities
- Second year (L-18 mos. To L-6 mos.): **3.3 heads** for development/test of ops products and plans
- L-6 mos. to L+3: **6.1 heads** for pre-launch ops rehearsals/sims, launch support, early orbit checkout, etc.
- Nominal (**4.1 heads**) staffing level reached at L+12





# Total End-End Cost (Includes Data Systems/Communications/Mission Science Ops)

## Total costs for 3 years/5 years of operations

- Data Systems/Hardware costs = \$XX M
- Data Systems/I & T costs = \$XX M
- Data Systems/Operations = \$XX M/\$XX M
- Mission/Science Operations = \$ XX M/\$XX M
- Total = \$XX M/\$XX M





# Mission/Science Operations Technology Development Needs

- ◆ None -- all technologies required to allow unstaffed operations/remote user access on off-shifts currently available and in use on several other missions.





# Mission/Science Operations Additional Trades to Consider

- ◆ May want to consider implementing some sort of on-board technology to autonomously determine spacecraft health and status, without ground system intervention.
  - Similar technology (BEAM) implemented in JPL's Deep-Space One program
    - Unclear if this technology can detect/determine all possible spacecraft faults which could occur.
  - Will allow reduction in space-ground contact time required.
    - Science requirements do not "drive" current assumption of one dump per day, this was proposed to provide sufficient insight into spacecraft health and safety by ground system personnel.





# Mission/Science Operations Risk Assessment

- ◆ Mission Operations approach presented completely dependent upon successful deployment of appropriate technology (communications, ranging, orbit determination, flight software, etc) to control formation between Lens Spacecraft and Detector Spacecraft.
  - Given the magnitude of the formation control requirements in terms of knowledge and accuracy, a solution with the ground in the loop is not feasible.





# Mission/Science Operations Issues and Concerns

- ◆ No issues or concerns with proposed approach





# Mission/Science Operations Backup Charts





# Mission/Science Operations Driving Mission Characteristics

- ◆ **Launch: July 2012**
- ◆ **Mission lifetime: 3 years minimum, 5 years desirable.**
- ◆ **Orbit overview: Heliocentric, Drift away. Lens Spacecraft and Detector Spacecraft seperated by 750,000 km**
- ◆ **Space-Ground contacts:**
  - 1 station contact per day @ DSN Goldstone (34m)
    - 1 Mb/s downlink (Ka-band)
    - 2 kb/s uplink (X-band)
- ◆ **Data rates:**
  - 6 kbps aggregate rate from Sensorcraft, 5 kbps from Lenscraft
    - Average instrument data rate: 1 kbps average
    - Engineering/HK: 4 kbps (assumed) for each spacecraft
    - Protocol overhead added (20%)
- ◆ **Spacecraft overview**
  - IP compliant
  - 48 hours of on-board data storage





# Mission Operations Driving Requirements

- ◆ **Support operations of FL Gamma Ray Sensorcraft and Lenscraft**
  - Processing/display of real-time telemetry and status data
  - Spacecraft and instrument commanding
  - Ground Station (GS) scheduling
  - Engineering data analysis
  - Clock correlation/calibration
- ◆ **Interact with ground station for satellite communications**
  - Telemetry, command and status data
  - Electronic transfer of data to MOC during each contact
  - Station scheduling (as required)
  - Voice communications





# Mission Operations Driving Requirements (cont.)

- ◆ Provide automation to facilitate reduced operations staffing, to include “lights-out” operations on weekday off-shifts and weekends
  - Automatically recognize alarm conditions and notify remote operations personnel during unstaffed operations
  - Automatically handle receipt of data dumps from GS's and generation/delivery of Level 0 data products





# Mission Operations Assumptions

- ◆ “Lights-Out” operations approach acceptable for normal operations to minimize operations costs
- ◆ Satellite can nominally operate for up to 3 days without ground contact
  - Spacecraft and instrument autonomously manage health-and-safety (i.e., they will detect problems and safe themselves when necessary)
- ◆ Typical Real-Time System Reliability, Maintainability, and Availability (RMA) is required
  - Hot backups needed for critical telemetry and command processors
- ◆ Typical command constraint checking is sufficient
- ◆ Formation flying/formation control technology on-board both Lens spacecraft and Detector spacecraft.
- ◆ Minimal planning and scheduling needed given simplicity of instrument operations





# Mission Operations Technologies Required

- ◆ **MOC must provide sufficient technology to automate handling of specific functions/activities**
  - Automatically process real-time data (housekeeping and science)
  - Automatically monitor telemetry, recognize error/alarm conditions, and notify offsite operations staff
  - Provide remote offsite operations personnel with remote access to data without violating security requirements
  - Automatically perform engineering analysis on housekeeping data
    - Generate trend plots, statistics reports, etc. for FOT analysis
    - Recognize error/alarm conditions and notify remote operations personnel





# Mission/Science Operations Subsystem Summary

- ◆ **Technology Readiness Level: 8-9** (most required technologies have been at least demonstrated, most in currently operational systems)
- ◆ **Space-GND contacts:**
  - DSN Goldstone (34m)
    - 1 Mb/s downlink (Ka-band)
    - 2 kb/s uplink (X-band)
- ◆ **Planning and Scheduling Requirements: Minimal**
- ◆ **Technology Complexity: Minimal, currently available/operational technology proposed in most instances.**
- ◆ **Risk: Low, assuming successful on-board operation of formation flight/control technology.**

